Vice-President, Research andnovation

UNIVERSITY ANIMAL CARE COMMITTEE Scientific Merit Peer Review Form

In accordance with tlocac Policy on the Importance of Independent Peer Review of the Scientific Merit of Animalsed Research Projects (20)0 proposal not reviewed by external, peer-reviewed agencies, must receive peer review to ensure that scientific merit has been demonstrated. Where evidence of good peer review is absent, TWO knowledgeable scientists who are not collaborating with the applicable is aid protocollad who are NOT on the UACC must review the proposal.

Purpose of this firm: This form is used tobocument the scientific merit peer review as per CCAC policy.

Instructions for thisform: Aftercompleting this form, neal to ethics @uwinnipeg.ca

Protocol Number

Protocol Title

515

Protocor fitte			
Principal Investigator			
1. Comment on the objectives and potential contribution(s) of this study to scientific knowledge.			
3. Comment on the an	imahasad mathads		
3. Comment on the all	iiiiaisasea methous.		
4a. Are the numbers of appropriate to the res	f animals proposed earch proposed? (select one)	Appropriate Too many Too few	
4b. If too many or too few, please explain.			
5. Is the species ofanir (select one)	mal/model appropriate?	Appropriate • Not appropriate	
5b. If not appropriate p	olease explain.		

RB 219

6a. Are there alternative experimental procedures which would address the same research questions which would decrease or eliminate the use of experimental animals? (select one)	Yes No		
6b. If yes, please explain			
7. Other comments			

8. Signature Byn8804 70ng,ocedgnaheoced

515 **(3)1,86** (3) - 18 - 19